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#### Abstract

This paper studies generalized $M / G / 1$ queues in which the first $N$ customers of each busy period receive exceptional services. Applying the supplementary variable approach, we derive the recursion formulas to obtain the generating function of the stationary queue length distribution given that $n$ customers have been served since the beginning of current busy period. Furthermore, we present a computationally tractable scheme which recursively determines the moments of the queue length distribution and the sojourn time distribution. Special cases are treated in detail. Numerical examples are also provided.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a single server queueing system where the service time of each customer depends on the number of customers served before him/her in the current busy period. Customers arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$. The service discipline is FCFS (first come first served). In each busy period, let $B_{n}(n \geq 0)$ be the service time when $n$ customers have been served since the beginning of current busy period. We assume that $B_{n}(n \geq 0)$ are mutually independent but may have different distribution function $B_{n}(x)(n \geq 0)$. Furthermore, we assume that $B_{n}(x)=B_{N}(x)$ for $n \geq N$. Such queueing systems are called the first $N$ exceptional services model.

Such queueing systems are immediately applicable to many fields such as computer systems, telecommunication systems and production systems. For example, nice applicable examples in the modern computer systems are introduced by Li et al. [2].

Several researchers have studied queueing systems in which the service time of a customer depends on the number of customers served in the current busy period. Welch [4] studied a generalized $M / G / 1$ queueing system in which the first customer of each busy period receives exceptional service. If we set $N=1$, Welch's model is considered as a special case of our model. Li et al. [2] studied an $M / M / 1$ queueing system in which the service rates depend on the number of customers served since the beginning of current busy period. They obtained the Laplace transform of busy period and some performance measures. For the first $N$ exceptional service model, they also derived a closed formula for the generating function of the stationary queue length distribution. Recently Igaki et al. [1] studied an $M / G / 1$ queueing system in which the service time distribution depends on the number of customers served since the beginning of current busy period for the case that $N$ may be infinity. They obtained the transform results for the system idle probability at time $t$, the busy period, and the number of customers at time $t$ given that $n$ customers have left the system at time $t$ since the beginning of current busy period. They also analyzed the virtual waiting time
at time $t$. However, they did not obtain the definite scheme for computing the moments of the stationary queue length distribution and the sojourn time distribution.

In this paper, by restricting $N$ to finite, we derive the recursion formulas to obtain the generating function of the stationary queue length distribution given that $n$ customers have been served since the beginning of current busy period by applying the supplementary variable approach. Furthermore, we present a computationally tractable scheme which recursively determines the moments of the queue length distribution and the sojourn time distribution.

This paper is constructed as follows. We describe the model and introduce notation in Section 2. In Section 3, we derive a set of Laplace-Stieltjes transform equations using supplementary variable approach. In Section 4, we give some system state probabilities to obtain the moments of the queue length distribution and the sojourn time distribution. In Section 5, we derive the numerical algorithm procedure for the generating function of the stationary queue length distribution. Special cases for $N=1,2,3$ are treated in detail, yielding explicit formulas for the generating functions of the stationary queue length distribution in Section 6. Numerical examples are also provided for some special cases in Section 7.

## 2. Model and Notation

Consider the generalized $M / G / 1$ queues in which the service time distribution depends on the number of customers served since the beginning of current busy period. We assume that customers arrive at a single server queueing system according to a Poisson process with intensity $\lambda$. These arriving customers are served under the FCFS discipline, where the customers will be served in order of their arrivals to the system. Let $B_{n}(x)$ denote the service time distribution function when $n$ customers have been served since the beginning of current busy period. The Laplace-Stieltjes transform (LST) of $B_{n}(x)$ is defined by $B_{n}^{*}(\theta) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} B_{n}(d x)$. Furthermore, we assume that the service time distribution becomes stable after some customers have been served in the current busy period. That is, there is a positive integer $N \geq 1$ such that $B_{n}(x)=B_{N}(x)$ for $n \geq N$. Let $L(t)$ be the number of customers in the system including the one in the server at time $t$. Let $M(t)$ be the number of customers served since the beginning of current busy period at time $t$. If the server is idle at time $t, M(t)$ is defined to be 0 . Furthermore, let $\hat{B}(t)$ be the remaining service time if there are some customers in system at time $t$. We further need the following notation for our subsequent analysis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
p_{0}(t) \equiv P(L(t)=0) \\
p_{i, j}(x, t) d x \equiv P(L(t)=i, M(t)=j, x<\hat{B}(t) \leq x+d x) \\
\quad(i=1,2, \ldots ; j=0,1, \ldots, N-1) \\
p_{i, N}(x, t) d x \equiv P(L(t)=i, M(t) \geq N, x<\hat{B}(t) \leq x+d x) \quad(i=1,2, \ldots) \\
P_{i, j}^{*}(\theta, t) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\theta x} p_{i, j}(x, t) d x \quad(i=1,2, \ldots ; j=0,1, \ldots, N)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Supplementary Variable Approach

In this section, we obtain Laplace-Stieltjes transforms (LST), $P_{i, j}^{*}(\theta) \equiv \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{i, j}^{*}(\theta, t)(i \geq$ $1 ; 0 \leq j \leq N)$, which are the bases of analysis in the following section.

Suppose that there are no customers in system at $t=0$, that is, $L(0)=0$ and $M(0)=0$. Since the service times are generally distributed, the process $\{L(t), M(t)\}$ does not form a Markov process. To make our system Markovian, we use the supplementary variable approach. Using the remaining service time as a supplementary variable, the joint distribution of the queue length, the number of customers served since the beginning of current busy period and the remaining service time at time $t$, that is, $\{L(t), M(t), \hat{B}(t)\}$ forms a Markov process. Observing the system state at time $t$ and $t+\Delta t$ and taking the limit of $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$, we have the following partial differential difference equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d p_{0}(t)}{d t}=-\lambda p_{0}(t)+\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_{1, j}(0, t)  \tag{1}\\
& \frac{\partial p_{1,0}(x, t)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial p_{1,0}(x, t)}{\partial x}=-\lambda p_{1,0}(x, t)+\lambda p_{0}(t) \frac{B_{0}(d x)}{d x}  \tag{2}\\
& \frac{\partial p_{i, 0}(x, t)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial p_{i, 0}(x, t)}{\partial x}=-\lambda p_{i, 0}(x, t)+\lambda p_{i-1,0}(x, t) \quad(i=2,3, \ldots)  \tag{3}\\
& \frac{\partial p_{1, j}(x, t)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial p_{1, j}(x, t)}{\partial x}=-\lambda p_{1, j}(x, t)+p_{2, j-1}(0, t) \frac{B_{j}(d x)}{d x} \quad(j=1, \ldots, N-1)  \tag{4}\\
& \frac{\partial p_{i, j}(x, t)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial p_{i, j}(x, t)}{\partial x}=-\lambda p_{i, j}(x, t)+\lambda p_{i-1, j}(x, t)+p_{i+1, j-1}(0, t) \frac{B_{j}(d x)}{d x}  \tag{5}\\
&(i=2,3, \ldots ; j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) \\
& \frac{\partial p_{1, N}(x, t)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial p_{1, N}(x, t)}{\partial x}=-\lambda p_{1, N}(x, t)+\left\{p_{2, N-1}(0, t)+p_{2, N}(0, t)\right\} \frac{B_{N}(d x)}{d x}  \tag{6}\\
& \frac{\partial p_{i, N}(x, t)}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial p_{i, N}(x, t)}{\partial x}=-\lambda p_{i, N}(x, t)+\lambda p_{i-1, N}(x, t)  \tag{7}\\
&+\left\{p_{i+1, N-1}(0, t)+p_{i+1, N}(0, t)\right\} \frac{B_{N}(d x)}{d x} \quad(i=2,3, \ldots)
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that the system is stable. We discuss the stability condition later. Let $p_{0} \equiv \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p_{0}(t)$ and $p_{i, j}(x) \equiv \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p_{i, j}(x, t)(i=1,2, \ldots ; j=0, \ldots, N)$. Taking the limit of $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the following equilibrium results from (1)-(7) using $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d p_{0}(t)}{d t}=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial p_{i, j}(x, t)}{\partial t}=0$ $(i=1,2, \ldots ; j=0, \ldots, N)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda p_{0}= \sum_{j=0}^{N} p_{1, j}(0)  \tag{8}\\
&-\frac{d p_{1,0}(x)}{d x}=-\lambda p_{1,0}(x)+\lambda p_{0} \frac{B_{0}(d x)}{d x}  \tag{9}\\
&-\frac{d p_{i, 0}(x)}{d x}=-\lambda p_{i, 0}(x)+\lambda p_{i-1,0}(x) \quad(i=2,3, \ldots)  \tag{10}\\
&-\frac{d p_{1, j}(x)}{d x}=-\lambda p_{1, j}(x)+p_{2, j-1}(0) \frac{B_{j}(d x)}{d x} \quad(j=1, \ldots, N-1)  \tag{11}\\
&-\frac{d p_{i, j}(x)}{d x}=-\lambda p_{i, j}(x)+\lambda p_{i-1, j}(x)+p_{i+1, j-1}(0) \frac{B_{j}(d x)}{d x}  \tag{12}\\
& \quad(i=2,3, \ldots ; j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) \\
&-\frac{d p_{1, N}(x)}{d x}=-\lambda p_{1, N}(x)+\left\{p_{2, N-1}(0)+p_{2, N}(0)\right\} \frac{B_{N}(d x)}{d x} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{d p_{i, N}(x)}{d x}=-\lambda p_{i, N}(x)+\lambda p_{i-1, N}(x)+\left\{p_{i+1, N-1}(0)+p_{i+1, N}(0)\right\} \frac{B_{N}(d x)}{d x}  \tag{14}\\
(i=2,3, \ldots)
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking the LST's of (9)-(14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&(\lambda-\theta) P_{1,0}^{*}(\theta)=\lambda p_{0} B_{0}^{*}(\theta)-p_{1,0}(0)  \tag{15}\\
&(\lambda-\theta) P_{i, 0}^{*}(\theta)=\lambda P_{i-1,0}^{*}(\theta)-p_{i, 0}(0) \quad(i=2,3, \ldots)  \tag{16}\\
&(\lambda-\theta) P_{1, j}^{*}(\theta)=p_{2, j-1}(0) B_{j}^{*}(\theta)-p_{1, j}(0) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1)  \tag{17}\\
&(\lambda-\theta) P_{i, j}^{*}(\theta)=\lambda P_{i-1, j}^{*}(\theta)+p_{i+1, j-1}(0) B_{j}^{*}(\theta)-p_{i, j}(0)  \tag{18}\\
&(i=2,3, \ldots ; j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) \\
&(\lambda-\theta) P_{1, N}^{*}(\theta)=\left\{p_{2, N-1}(0)+p_{2, N}(0)\right\} B_{N}^{*}(\theta)-p_{1, N}(0)  \tag{19}\\
&(\lambda-\theta) P_{i, N}^{*}(\theta)=\lambda P_{i-1, N}^{*}(\theta)+\left\{p_{i+1, N-1}(0)+p_{i+1, N}(0)\right\} B_{N}^{*}(\theta)-p_{i, N}(0)  \tag{20}\\
&(i=2,3, \ldots)
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. System State Probability

In this section, we give $p_{0}, p_{i, 0}(0)(1 \leq i \leq N)$ and $p_{1, j}(0)(1 \leq i \leq N)$ to obtain the moments of the queue length distribution and the sojourn time distribution in Section 5.
4.1. $p_{i, 0}(1 \leq i \leq N)$ in terms of $p_{0}$

We express $p_{i, 0}(0)(1 \leq i \leq N)$ in terms of $p_{0}$. Substituting $\theta=\lambda$ into (15) and (16), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1,0}(0) & =\lambda p_{0} B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)  \tag{21}\\
p_{i, 0}(0) & =\lambda P_{i-1,0}^{*}(\lambda) \quad(i=2,3, \ldots) . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (15) and (16) $n+1$ times and inserting $\theta=\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(n+1) P_{1,0}^{*(n)}(\lambda)=\lambda p_{0} B_{0}^{*(n+1)}(\lambda)  \tag{23}\\
& -(n+1) P_{i, 0}^{*(n)}(\lambda)=\lambda P_{i-1,0}^{*(n+1)}(\lambda) \quad(i=2,3, \ldots) \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (23) and (24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i, 0}^{*}(\lambda)=(-1)^{i} \frac{\lambda^{i}}{i!} p_{0} B_{0}^{*(i)}(\lambda) \quad(i=1, \ldots, N) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21), (22) and (25), we can express $p_{i 0}(1 \leq i \leq N)$ in terms of $p_{0}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i, 0}(0)=(-1)^{i-1} \frac{\lambda^{i}}{(i-1)!} p_{0} B_{0}^{*(i-1)}(\lambda) \quad(i=1, \ldots, N) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.2. $p_{1, j}(0)(1 \leq j \leq N)$ in terms of $p_{0}$

We now express $p_{1, j}(0)(1 \leq j \leq N)$ in terms of $p_{0}$. Substituting $\theta=\lambda$ into (17) and (18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{1, j}(0)=p_{2, j-1}(0) B_{j}^{*}(\lambda) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1)  \tag{27}\\
& p_{i, j}(0)=\lambda P_{i-1, j}^{*}(\lambda)+p_{i+1, j-1}(0) B_{j}^{*}(\lambda) \quad(i=2,3, \ldots ; j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (17) and (18) $n+1$ times and substituting $\theta=\lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-(n+1) P_{1, j}^{*(n)}(\lambda)=p_{2, j-1}(0) B_{j}^{*(n+1)}(\lambda) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) \\
-(n+1) P_{i, j}^{*(n)}(\lambda)=\lambda P_{i-1, j}^{*(n+1)}(\lambda)+p_{i+1, j-1}(0) B_{j}^{*(n+1)}(\lambda)  \tag{30}\\
(i=2,3, \ldots ; j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) .
\end{array}
$$

Using (26)-(30), we can calculate $p_{i, j}(0)(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1 ; i=1,2, \ldots, N-j)$ in terms of $p_{0}$ by the following numerical algorithm.

## Algorithm

## for $j=1$ to $N-1$ do

for $i=1$ to $N-j$ do

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i, j}(0)=\sum_{k=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{k} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} p_{i-k+1, j-1}(0) B_{j}^{*(k)}(\lambda) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $p_{1, j}(0)(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1)$ can be obtained from (31). Finally, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1, N}(0)=\lambda p_{0}-\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{1, j}(0), \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (8). It immediately follows that we can express $p_{1, j}(0)(j=1,2, \ldots, N)$ in terms of $p_{0}$ from (26), (31) and (32).

### 4.3. Generating functions

We define the following generating functions.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q_{j}(z) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_{i, j}(0) z^{i} & (j=0, \ldots, N) \\
Q_{j}^{*}(z, \theta) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_{i, j}^{*}(\theta) z^{i} \quad(j=0, \ldots, N) \tag{34}
\end{array}
$$

Using (15)-(20), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(\lambda-\lambda z-\theta) Q_{0}^{*}(z, \theta) & =\lambda p_{0} B_{0}^{*}(\theta) z-q_{0}(z)  \tag{35}\\
(\lambda-\lambda z-\theta) Q_{j}^{*}(z, \theta) & =\left[\frac{q_{j-1}(z)}{z}-p_{1, j-1}(0)\right] B_{j}^{*}(\theta)-q_{j}(z) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1)  \tag{36}\\
(\lambda-\lambda z-\theta) Q_{N}^{*}(z, \theta) & =\left[\frac{q_{N-1}(z)+q_{N}(z)}{z}-p_{1, N-1}(0)-p_{1, N}(0)\right] B_{N}^{*}(\theta)-q_{N}(z) . \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $\theta=\lambda-\lambda z$ into (35)-(37), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{0}(z) & =\lambda p_{0} B_{0}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z) z  \tag{38}\\
q_{j}(z) & =\left[\frac{q_{j-1}(z)}{z}-p_{1, j-1}(0)\right] B_{j}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1)  \tag{39}\\
q_{N}(z) & =\left[\frac{q_{N-1}(z)+q_{N}(z)}{z}-p_{1, N-1}(0)-p_{1, N}(0)\right] B_{N}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z) . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Rearranging (40), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{N}(z)=\frac{\left\{q_{N-1}(z)-p_{1, N-1}(0) z-p_{1, N}(0) z\right\} B_{N}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)}{z-B_{N}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, substituting $\theta=0$ into (35)-(37), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{0}^{*}(z, 0) & =\frac{p_{0} z\left\{1-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right\}}{1-z}  \tag{42}\\
Q_{j}^{*}(z, 0) & =\frac{\left\{q_{j-1}(z)-p_{1, j-1}(0) z\right\}\left\{1-B_{j}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right\}}{\lambda z(1-z)} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1)  \tag{43}\\
Q_{N}^{*}(z, 0) & =\frac{\left\{q_{N-1}(z)+q_{N}(z)-p_{1, N-1}(0) z-p_{1, N}(0) z\right\}\left\{1-B_{N}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right\}}{\lambda z(1-z)} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.4. Determination of $p_{0}$

Substituting $z=1$ into (38) and (39), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{0}(1)=\lambda p_{0}  \tag{45}\\
& q_{j}(1)=q_{j-1}(1)-p_{1, j-1}(0) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, differentiating (38) and (39), and substituting $z=1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{0}^{(1)}(1)=\lambda p_{0}\left\{1-\lambda B_{0}^{*(1)}(0)\right\}  \tag{47}\\
& q_{j}^{(1)}(1)=q_{j-1}^{(1)}(1)-q_{j-1}(1)-\lambda B_{j}^{*(1)}(0)\left\{q_{j-1}(1)-p_{1, j-1}(0)\right\} \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) . \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Using L'Hospital's rule in (41), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{N}(1)=\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} q_{N}(z)=\frac{q_{N-1}^{(1)}(1)-p_{1, N-1}(0)-p_{1, N}(0)}{1+\lambda B_{N}^{*(1)}(0)} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, using L'Hospital's rule in (42)-(44), we finally obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{0}^{*}(1,0) & =-\lambda p_{0} B_{0}^{*(1)}(0)  \tag{50}\\
Q_{j}^{*}(1,0) & =-\left\{q_{j-1}(1)-p_{1, j-1}(0)\right\} B_{j}^{*(1)}(0) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1)  \tag{51}\\
Q_{N}^{*}(1,0) & =-\left\{q_{N-1}(1)+q_{N}(1)-p_{1, N-1}(0)-p_{1, N}(0)\right\} B_{N}^{*(1)}(0) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $p_{1, j}(0)(j=0, \ldots, N-1)$ are expressed in terms of $p_{0}$, we can express $Q_{j}^{*}(1,0)$ $(j=0, \ldots, N)$ in terms of $p_{0}$ using (45)-(52). From the normalization condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}+\sum_{j=0}^{N} Q_{j}^{*}(1,0)=1 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $p_{0}$. Therefore, the system state probabilities immediately follow.
Remark 4.1 It follows from (49) that this queueing system is stable if and only if $1+$ $\lambda B_{N}^{*(1)}(0)>0$, that is, $\lambda \mathbf{E}\left(B_{N}\right)<1$.

## 5. Moments of Queue Length and Sojourn Time

In this section we derive a computationally tractable scheme which determines the queue
length distribution and the sojourn time distribution in steady state. We assume in this paper that the sojourn time is defined as the time between the arrival epoch and the end of the service time of an arbitrary customer.

### 5.1. Moments of queue length

We define the generating function of the steady state queue length distribution as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z) \equiv \mathbf{E}\left(z^{L}\right)=p_{0}+\sum_{j=0}^{N} Q_{j}^{*}(z, 0) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ denotes the steady state queue length. Differentiating (54) $n$ times with respect to $z$ and substituting $z=1$, it is shown that $n$th factorial moment of $L$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}[L(L-1) \cdots(L-n+1)] \equiv R^{(n)}(1)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} Q_{j}^{*(n)}(1,0) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, to obtain the $n$th factorial moment of $L$, it suffices to calculate $Q_{j}^{*(n)}(1,0)(j=$ $0, \ldots, N)$. Since $p_{0}, p_{1, j}(0)(j=0, \ldots, N)$ and $q_{j}(1)(j=0, \ldots, N)$ explicitly follow from the previous section, we have the following tractable numerical algorithm to calculate $Q_{j}^{*(n)}(1,0)$ $(j=0, \ldots, N)$ by differentiating (38)-(44) and substituting $z=1$.

## Algorithm

## for $k=0$ to $n$ do <br> begin

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{0}^{(k+1)}(1) & =\lambda p_{0}\left\{(-\lambda)^{k+1} B_{0}^{*(k+1)}(0)+(k+1)(-\lambda)^{k} B_{0}^{*(k)}(0)\right\}  \tag{56}\\
q_{j}^{(k+1)}(1) & =-(k+1) q_{j}^{(k)}(1)+\left[q_{j-1}(1)-p_{1, j-1}(0)\right](-\lambda)^{k+1} B_{j}^{*(k+1)}(0) \\
& +(k+1)\left[q_{j-1}^{(1)}(1)-p_{1, j-1}(0)\right](-\lambda)^{k} B_{j}^{*(k)}(0)  \tag{57}\\
& +\sum_{m=2}^{k+1}\binom{k+1}{m} q_{j-1}^{(m)}(1)(-\lambda)^{k+1-m} B_{j}^{*(k+1-m)}(0) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) \\
q_{N}^{(k)}(1) & =\frac{1}{(k+1)\left\{1+\lambda B_{N}^{*(1)}(0)\right\}}\left[\sum_{m=2}^{k+1}\binom{k+1}{m}(-\lambda)^{m} B_{N}^{*(m)}(0) q_{N}^{(k+1-m)}(1)\right.  \tag{58}\\
& +\sum_{m=2}^{k+1}\binom{k+1}{m} q_{N-1}^{(m)}(1)(-\lambda)^{k+1-m} B_{N}^{*(k+1-m)}(0) \\
& \left.+(k+1)\left\{q_{N-1}^{(1)}-p_{1, N-1}(0)-p_{1, N}(0)\right\}(-\lambda)^{k} B_{N}^{*(k)}(0)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

end

$$
Q_{0}^{*(n)}(1,0)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{p_{0}}{n+1}\left[(-\lambda)^{n+1} B_{0}^{*(n+1)}(0)+(n+1)(-\lambda)^{n} B_{0}^{*(n)}(0)\right] & (n \geq 1)  \tag{59}\\
-\lambda p_{0} B_{0}^{*(1)}(0) & (n=0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $k=1$ to $n$ do
begin

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{j}^{*(k)}(1,0) & =-k Q_{j}^{*(k-1)}(1,0)-\frac{1}{k+1}\left[q_{j-1}(1)-p_{1, j-1}(0)\right](-\lambda)^{k} B_{j}^{*(k+1)}(0) \\
& -\left[q_{j-1}^{(1)}(1)-p_{1, j-1}(0)\right](-\lambda)^{k-1} B_{j}^{*(k)}(0)  \tag{60}\\
& -\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{m=2}^{k}\binom{k+1}{m} q_{j-1}^{(m)}(1)(-\lambda)^{k-m} B_{j}^{*(k+1-m)}(0) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, N-1) \\
Q_{N}^{*(k)}(1,0) & =-k Q_{N}^{*(k-1)}(1,0) \\
& -\frac{1}{k+1}\left[q_{N-1}(1)+q_{N}(1)-p_{1, N-1}(0)-p_{1, N}(0)\right](-\lambda)^{k} B_{N}^{*(k+1)}(0)  \tag{61}\\
& -\left[q_{N-1}^{(1)}(1)+q_{N}^{(1)}(1)-p_{1, N-1}(0)-p_{1, N}(0)\right](-\lambda)^{k-1} B_{N}^{*(k)}(0) \\
& -\frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{m=2}^{k}\binom{k+1}{m}\left[q_{N-1}^{(m)}(1)+q_{N}^{(m)}(1)\right](-\lambda)^{k-m} B_{N}^{*(k+1-m)}(0)
\end{align*}
$$

end

### 5.2. Moments of sojourn time

Let $S$ and $S^{*}(\theta)$ be the steady state sojourn time of an arbitrary customer and its LST, respectively. Since the arrival process is Poissonian and the service discipline is FCFS, the distribution of $L$ and $S$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z)=S^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}[L(L-1) \ldots(L-n+1)]=R^{(n)}(1)=(-1)^{n} \lambda^{n} S^{*(n)}(0)=\lambda^{n} \mathbf{E}\left(S^{n}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain from (63) that the $n$th moment of $S$ can be calculated from the $n$th factorial moment of $L$.

## 6. Special Cases

In this section we derive explicit formulas for the generating function of the stationary queue length distribution, $R(z)$, and the LST of sojourn time distribution, $S^{*}(\theta)$, for the special cases that $N$ equals 1,2 and 3 . Substituting $z=1-\lambda / \theta$ in (62), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{*}(\theta)=R(1-\theta / \lambda) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, if the explicit formula for $R(z)$ is found, then we have the explicit formula for $S^{*}(\theta)$ from (64).
(1) $N=1$

$$
\begin{align*}
R(z) & \equiv p_{0}+Q_{0}^{*}(z, 0)+Q_{1}^{*}(z, 0)  \tag{65}\\
& =\frac{p_{0}\left\{z B_{0}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{1}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right\}}{z-B_{1}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
p_{0}=\frac{1-\lambda \mathbf{E}\left(B_{1}\right)}{1+\lambda\left\{\mathbf{E}\left(B_{0}\right)-\mathbf{E}\left(B_{1}\right)\right\}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{*}(\theta)=\frac{p_{0}\left\{(\lambda-\theta) B_{0}^{*}(\theta)-\lambda B_{1}^{*}(\theta)\right\}}{\lambda-\theta-\lambda B_{1}^{*}(\theta)} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 6.1 The queueing system for $N=1$ coincides with the queueing system studied by Welch [4] and the explicit formulas of $R(z)$ and $S^{*}(\theta)$ coincide with the results in Welch [4] and Takagi [3].
(2) $N=2$

$$
\begin{align*}
R(z) & \equiv p_{0}+Q_{0}^{*}(z, 0)+Q_{1}^{*}(z, 0)+Q_{2}^{*}(z, 0) \\
& =\frac{p_{0}}{z-B_{2}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)}\left[z B_{0}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{2}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right.  \tag{67}\\
& \left.+\left\{B_{0}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)\right\}\left\{B_{1}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{2}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{0}=\frac{1-\lambda \mathbf{E}\left(B_{2}\right)}{1+\lambda\left\{\mathbf{E}\left(B_{0}\right)-\mathbf{E}\left(B_{2}\right)\right\}+\lambda\left\{1-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)\right\}\left\{\mathbf{E}\left(B_{1}\right)-\mathbf{E}\left(B_{2}\right)\right\}} \\
S^{*}(\theta)=\frac{p_{0}}{\lambda-\theta-\lambda B_{2}^{*}(\theta)}\left[(\lambda-\theta) B_{0}^{*}(\theta)-\lambda B_{2}^{*}(\theta)\right.  \tag{68}\\
\left.+\lambda\left\{B_{0}^{*}(\theta)-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)\right\}\left\{B_{1}^{*}(\theta)-B_{2}^{*}(\theta)\right\}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

(3) $N=3$

$$
\begin{align*}
R(z) & \equiv p_{0}+Q_{0}^{*}(z, 0)+Q_{1}^{*}(z, 0)+Q_{2}^{*}(z, 0)+Q_{3}^{*}(z, 0) \\
& =\frac{p_{0}}{z-B_{3}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)}\left[z B_{0}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{3}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right.  \tag{69}\\
& +\left\{B_{0}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)\right\}\left\{B_{1}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{3}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right\} \\
& +B_{0}^{*(1)}(\lambda) B_{1}^{*}(\lambda)\left\{B_{2}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{3}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right\} \\
& \left.+B_{1}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\left\{B_{0}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)\right\}\left\{B_{2}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)-B_{3}^{*}(\lambda-\lambda z)\right\} / z\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
p_{0}=\frac{1-\lambda \mathbf{E}\left(B_{3}\right)}{A}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=1+\lambda\left\{\mathbf{E}\left(B_{0}\right)-\mathbf{E}\left(B_{3}\right)\right\}+\lambda\left\{1-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)\right\}\left\{\mathbf{E}\left(B_{1}\right)-\mathbf{E}\left(B_{3}\right)\right\} \\
&+\lambda\left\{1-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)+B_{0}^{*(1)}(\lambda) B_{1}^{*}(\lambda)\right\}\left\{\mathbf{E}\left(B_{2}\right)-\mathbf{E}\left(B_{3}\right)\right\} \\
& S^{*}(\theta)=\frac{p_{0}}{\lambda-\theta-\lambda B_{3}^{*}(\theta)}\left[(\lambda-\theta) B_{0}^{*}(\theta)-\lambda B_{3}^{*}(\theta)\right. \\
&+\lambda\left\{B_{0}^{*}(\theta)-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)\right\}\left\{B_{1}^{*}(\theta)-B_{3}^{*}(\theta)\right\}  \tag{70}\\
&+\lambda B_{0}^{*(1)}(\lambda) B_{1}^{*}(\lambda)\left\{B_{2}^{*}(\theta)-B_{3}^{*}(\theta)\right\} \\
&\left.+\lambda^{2} B_{1}^{*}(\theta)\left\{B_{0}^{*}(\theta)-B_{0}^{*}(\lambda)\right\}\left\{B_{2}^{*}(\theta)-B_{3}^{*}(\theta)\right\} /(\lambda-\theta)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

## 7. Numerical Examples

In this section, we present numerical examples to demonstrate the numerical algorithm derived in Section 5.

In Table 1 and Table 2, we show the system idle probability, $p_{0}$, the mean queue length, $\mathbf{E}(L)$, and the variance of queue length, $\mathbf{V}(L)$, for an $M / M / 1$ queue and an $M / D / 1$ queue with $N=3$, respectively. For both queueing systems, we assume that the arrival rate is $\lambda=1$. We also assume that the relations among mean service times given that $n$ customers have been served since the beginning of current busy period are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left(B_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n+1} \mathbf{E}\left(B_{0}\right) \quad(n=1,2,3) . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we define $\rho_{N} \equiv \lambda \mathbf{E}\left(B_{N}\right)$. From Remark 4.1, $\rho_{N}$ is less than unity if and only if the queueing system is stable.
Table 1. System idle probability $p_{0}$, mean queue length $\mathbf{E}(L)$ and variance of queue length $\mathbf{V}(L)$ for an $M / M / 1$ queue with $N=3$

| $\rho_{N}$ | $p_{0}$ | $\mathbf{E}(L)$ | $\mathbf{V}(L)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.6755 | 0.4653 | 0.7987 |
| 0.2 | 0.4687 | 1.0210 | 2.3346 |
| 0.3 | 0.3329 | 1.6422 | 4.5140 |
| 0.4 | 0.2390 | 2.3348 | 7.3039 |
| 0.5 | 0.1709 | 3.1287 | 10.790 |
| 0.6 | 0.1196 | 4.0926 | 15.346 |
| 0.7 | 0.0796 | 5.3918 | 22.296 |
| 0.8 | 0.0477 | 7.5258 | 37.464 |
| 0.9 | 0.0216 | 12.994 | 110.34 |

Table 2. System idle probability $p_{0}$, mean queue length $\mathbf{E}(L)$ and variance of queue length $\mathbf{V}(L)$ for an $M / D / 1$ queue with $N=3$

| $\rho_{N}$ | $p_{0}$ | $\mathbf{E}(L)$ | $\mathbf{V}(L)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.6733 | 0.3983 | 0.4648 |
| 0.2 | 0.4622 | 0.7930 | 1.0223 |
| 0.3 | 0.3241 | 1.1936 | 1.6542 |
| 0.4 | 0.2302 | 1.6160 | 2.3709 |
| 0.5 | 0.1634 | 2.0824 | 3.2129 |
| 0.6 | 0.1138 | 2.6312 | 4.2928 |
| 0.7 | 0.0756 | 3.3470 | 5.9660 |
| 0.8 | 0.0453 | 4.4803 | 9.7399 |
| 0.9 | 0.0206 | 7.2813 | 28.204 |

Numerical examples in Table 1 and Table 2 show the following interesting result. If $\rho_{N}$ is same for both an $M / M / 1$ queue and an $M / D / 1$ queue, $\mathbf{E}(L)$ and $\mathbf{V}(L)$ for an $M / M / 1$ queue are larger than those for an $M / D / 1$ queue. However, for same $\rho_{N}$, the system idle probability $p_{0}$ for an $M / M / 1$ queue is larger than that for an $M / D / 1$ queue. This is a remarkable phenomenon.
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