

AN ALGORITHM FOR THE ASYMPTOTIC PROBLEM OF AN INTEGER PROGRAM

TOSHIHIDE IBARAKI

Kyoto University

(Received April 23, 1971 and Revised June 30, 1971)

1. Introduction

Since Gomory has pointed out the significance of the asymptotic problem associated with a pure integer problem [1], a considerable effort has been made to establish its solution algorithms.

An asymptotic problem is

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} P: \quad & \text{minimize} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j = \beta \\ & \quad \quad \quad x_j: \text{ nonnegative integers,} \end{aligned}$$

where $c_j \geq 0$, $j=1, 2, \dots, n$. $\beta \in G$, and G is a finite module of order D , which is generated by $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ (see [1] [7] for details).

Basically two approaches are known to solve Problem P . One is the algorithm proposed by Gomory [1] and improved by White [8] and Mine-Narihisa [6], which is based on the dynamic programming recursion on j of x_j . The other (Shapiro [7], Hu [4], Greenberg [3] and Ibaraki [5]) is based on the shortest path in a directed graph associated with G .

In this note, we will consider Problem Q which is an asymptotic

problem P augmented with a set of constraints:

$$(2) \quad 0 \leq x_j \leq b_j \quad \text{for } j=1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where b_j is a nonnegative integer and can take on ∞ if no restriction is imposed on x_j .

Constraints (2) are frequently encountered in practice, *e.g.*, integer programs with 0–1 variables x_j (*i.e.*, $b_j=1$). Another important example is found in the case where the asymptotic problems are considered as partial problems generated during the computation based on the branch-and-bound method (*e.g.*, [2]). Let the best value among the solutions thus far known be z^* and the value of the optimal solution of the present partial problem be z' , then any solution x satisfying

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j > z^* - z'$$

is excluded from the further consideration. For x not to satisfy (3), we obtain

$$(4) \quad x_j \leq (z^* - z')/c_j \quad \text{for all } j \text{ such that } c_j > 0.$$

These may be taken as constraints (2).

Problem Q was treated by Greenberg [3]. His algorithm is an extension of the algorithm for P based on the shortest path formulation. This note will point out that the Gomory's approach for P can also be extended to handle Q.

2. Recursion Formula for Problem Q

For $\alpha \in G$, let

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} F_s(\alpha) &= \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) \mid \sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j x_j = \alpha, 0 \leq x_j \leq b_j, x_j : \text{integer}\} \\ \phi_s(\alpha) &= \min \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^s c_j x_j \mid (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) \in F_s(\alpha) \right\} \\ O_s(\alpha) &= \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) \mid x \in F_s(\alpha), \sum_{j=1}^s c_j x_j = \phi_s(\alpha)\}. \end{aligned}$$

First note that

$$(6) \quad \phi_s(\alpha) = \min \{ \phi_{s-1}(\alpha - k \alpha_s) + k c_s \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, b_s \}$$

$$(7) \quad (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{s-1}^*, x_s^*) \in O_s(\alpha)$$

hold, where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_s(\alpha) &= \phi_{s-1}(\alpha - x_s^* \alpha_s) + x_s^* c_s \\ (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{s-1}^*) &\in O_{s-1}(\alpha - x_s^* \alpha_s). \end{aligned}$$

(6) is proved as follows. Let $(x_1', x_2', \dots, x_s') \in O_s(\alpha)$.

Then from the definition of $O_s(\alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_j x_j' + c_s x_s' &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_j x_j + c_s x_s \\ &\text{for all } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s) \in F_s(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by letting $x_s = x_s'$ and $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{s-1}) \in O_{s-1}(\alpha - x_s' \alpha_s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_j x_j' + c_s x_s' &\leq \phi_{s-1}(\alpha - x_s' \alpha_s) + c_s x_s' \\ \text{i.e., } \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_j x_j' &\leq \phi_{s-1}(\alpha - x_s' \alpha_s) \end{aligned}$$

follows. But this implies $\sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_j x_j' = \phi_{s-1}(\alpha - x_s' \alpha_s)$ from the definition of $\phi_{s-1}(\alpha - x_s' \alpha_s)$. This proves (6). (7) is also an immediate consequence of this argument.

Relation (6) yields

$$(8) \quad \phi_s(\alpha - \alpha_s) + c_s \leq \phi_{s-1}(\alpha - k \alpha_s) + k c_s, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, b_s.$$

By using this property, (6) and (7) can be simplified further.

$$(9) \quad \phi_s(\alpha) = \min \{ \phi_{s-1}(\alpha), \phi_s(\alpha - \alpha_s) + c_s \}$$

$$(10) \quad (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{s-1}^*, x_s^*) \in O_s(\alpha)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{s-1}^*) \in O_{s-1}(\alpha) \text{ and } x_s^* = 0 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{if } \phi_s(\alpha) = \phi_{s-1}(\alpha), \\ (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_s^* - 1) \in O_s(\alpha - \alpha_s) \end{cases}$$

otherwise,

if $\phi_s(\alpha - \alpha_s)$ has been already calculated and if

$$x_s^* - 1 < b_s$$

holds.

Consequently, by applying the recursion formula (6) (or (9) if possible), we can calculate $\phi_s(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in G$ and for $s=1, 2, \dots, n$ successively. Evidently $x \in O_n(\beta)$ and $\phi_n(\beta)$ are an optimal solution of Q and its value respectively.

The computation of $\phi_s(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in G$, for a fixed s is separately carried out for each coset defined by H_s , where H_s is the submodule of G generated by α_s . Let $K = \gamma + H_s$, $\gamma \in G$, be a coset of G and let $\alpha^* \in K$ satisfy

$$(11) \quad \phi_{s-1}(\alpha^*) = \min \{ \phi_{s-1}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in K \}.$$

Then from the fact that $\alpha^* - k\alpha_s \in K$ for $k=0, 1, \dots, b_s$ and $c_j \geq 0$ in (6)

$$(12) \quad \phi_s(\alpha^*) = \phi_{s-1}(\alpha^*)$$

follows. This property is useful to increase the computational efficiency and was used by White [8] and Mine-Narihisa [6] for solving P.

3. Algorithm

The following algorithm will provide us with $x \in O_n(\beta)$ and $\phi_n(\beta)$ for a given Problem Q. In the algorithm, A is used to keep $\alpha \in G$ for which $\phi_s(\alpha)$ is not calculated yet. $\lambda_s(\alpha)$ (s -dimensional vector) represents a solution in $O_s(\alpha)$.

Step 1: Let

$$\phi_0(\alpha) = (D-1) \max c_j, \quad \alpha \in G, \alpha \neq e$$

$$\phi_0(e) = 0,$$

where e is the zero element of module G . Let $s=1$, $A=G$, and go to Step 2.

Step 2 :

- (i) If $A=\phi$, go to Step 3; otherwise go to (ii).
- (ii) Obtain $\alpha^* \in A$ such that

$$(13) \quad \phi_{s-1}(\alpha^*) = \min \{ \phi_{s-1}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in A \}.$$

Let $\phi_s(\alpha^*) = \phi_{s-1}(\alpha^*)$, $\lambda_s(\alpha^*) = (\lambda_{s-1}(\alpha^*), 0)$,
 $A \leftarrow A - \{ \alpha^* \}$, and $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha^*$. Go to (iii).

- (iii) If $\bar{\alpha} + \alpha_s = \alpha^*$, return to (i); otherwise go to (iv) if $[\lambda_s(\bar{\alpha})]_s + 1 > b_s$ or go to (v) if $[\lambda_s(\bar{\alpha})]_s + 1 \leq b_s$, where $[\lambda_s(\bar{\alpha})]_s$ is the s -th element of $\lambda_s(\bar{\alpha})$.

- (iv) For $\alpha' = \bar{\alpha} + \alpha_s$, calculate

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_s(\alpha') &= \min \{ \phi_{s-1}(\alpha' - k\alpha_s) + kc_s \mid k=0, 1, \dots, b_s \} \\ \lambda_s(\alpha') &= (\lambda_{s-1}(\alpha' - x_s^* \alpha_s), x_s^*) \\ &\text{where } \phi_s(\alpha') = \phi_{s-1}(\alpha' - x_s^* \alpha_s) + x_s^* c_s. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha'$, $A \leftarrow A - \{ \alpha' \}$ and return to (iii).

- (v) For $\alpha' = \bar{\alpha} + \alpha_s$, calculate

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_s(\alpha') &= \min \{ \phi_{s-1}(\alpha'), \phi_s(\alpha' - \alpha_s) + c_s \} \\ \lambda_s(\alpha') &= (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_s^*) \end{aligned}$$

where
$$\begin{cases} (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{s-1}^*) = \lambda_{s-1}(\alpha') \text{ and } x_s^* = 0 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{if } \phi_s(\alpha') = \phi_{s-1}(\alpha') \\ (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_{s-1}^*, x_s^* - 1) = \lambda_s(\alpha' - \alpha_s) \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha'$, $A \leftarrow A - \{ \alpha' \}$ and return to (iii).

Step 3 : If $s=n$, terminate the computation. Otherwise, increase s by

1, let $A=G$ and return to Step 2.

Let $R(\alpha_s)$ be the order of α_s . Then, for each s , the above algorithm requires $D(1-1/R(\alpha_s))$ operations of calculating (14) or (15), and $D/R(\alpha_s)$ operations of calculating (13). When $b_j=\infty$ for $j=1, 2, \dots, n$, this algorithm reduces to that proposed by Gomory [1] and improved by White [8] and Mine-Narihisa [6].

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to express his appreciation to Prof. H. Mine of Kyoto University for his comments on the subject.

References

- [1] Gomory, R.E., "On the Relation between Integer and Noninteger Solutions to Linear Programs," Proc. National Academy of Sciences, **53**, (1965), pp. 260-265.
- [2] Gorry, A., and Shapiro, J.F., "An Adaptive Group Theoretic Algorithm for Integer Programming Problems," Management Science, **17**, (1971), pp. 285-306.
- [3] Greenberg, H., "A Dynamic Programming Solution to Integer Linear Programs," J. of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **26**, (1969), pp. 454-459.
- [4] Hu, T.C., *Integer Programming and Network Flows*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
- [5] Ibaraki, T., "On Solving the Asymptotic Problem of an Integer Program," (in Japanese), Symposium on Combinatorial Problems in Information Theory and Design of Experiments, Kyoto University, 1970.
- [6] Mine, H. and Narihisa, H., "A New Rounding Algorithm for Integer Linear Programming," Memoirs of the Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University, **30**, (1968), pp. 578-591.
- [7] Shapiro, J.F., "Dynamic Programming Algorithm for the Integer Programming Problem—I: The Integer Programming Problem Viewed as a Knapsack Type Problem," Operations Research, **16**, (1968), pp. 103-121.
- [8] White, W.W., "On a Group Theoretic Approach to Linear Integer Programming," ORC 66-27, Operations Research Center, University of California, Berkeley, Calif. (1966).

CORRIGENDA

Corrigenda to "Some extensions of dynamic economic lot size model with backlogging," Vol. 14, No. 1 (1971). In Figure-3 in page 11, the vertical axis c_i represents "marginal production cost".

Corrigenda to "General scheduling algorithms with applications to parallel scheduling and multiprogramming scheduling," Vol. 14, No. 2 (1971).

page	line	for	read
77	5	Determined	Determine
78	7, 20, 21	} LB(f), UB(f)	LB(S), UB(S)
78	22, 24, 26, 28		
79	15, 14		
86	Fig. 12	d	d_2
88	Fig. 14	⑤	⑤ ¹⁵
90	Fig. 15	⑤	⑤ (2, 5)
91	4	Algorithm 1,	Algorithm 1 or 2,
91	9	Min. f of	Min. f_0 of
91	10	of f found	of f_0 found
91	14, 15	f	f_0
92	1	f	f_0
92	27	} 1 or 2	1, or 2 except the first node
93	14, 29		