## Numerical Exploration of Dynamic Behavior of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process via Ehrenfest Process Approximation

01204710 筑波大学 住田 潮 SUMITA Ushio 02103210 筑波大学 後藤順哉 GOTOH Jun-ya 筑波大学 金 輝 \*JIN Hui

### 1 Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process

The Ornstein-Uhrenbeck (O-U) process is of practical importance in many application areas such as statistics, meteorology and financial engineering. The O-U process  $\{X_{\rm OU}(t):t\geq 0\}$  is a Markov diffusion process on the real continuum  $-\infty < x < \infty$ . Its probability density function  $f(x,t) = \frac{\rm d}{{\rm d}x} {\rm P}\left[X_{\rm OU}(t) \leq x\right]$  is governed by the forward diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x,t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ x f(x,t) \right]. \tag{1.1}$$

The O-U process is characterized by its Markov property, normal distribution, and exponential covariance function. A basic function describing this process is the conditional transition density  $g(x_0, x, \tau) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \mathrm{P}\left[X(t+\tau) \leq x|X(t)=x_0\right]$  given by

$$g(x_0, x, \tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{1 - e^{-2\tau}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x - x_0 e^{-\tau})^2}{2(1 - e^{-2\tau})}\right\},$$
(1.2)

with  $-\infty < x < \infty$ .

While transition probabilities of the O-U process are readily accessible, quantifying its dynamic behavior is numerically cumbersome.

### 2 Convergence of the Ehrenfest Process to the O-U Process

We consider 2V independent and identical Markov chains  $\{J_j(t): t\geq 0\},\ j=1,...,2V,$  in continuous time on  $\{0,1\}$  governed by transition rates  $\nu_{01}=\nu_{10}=\frac{1}{2}.$  Let  $\{N_{2V}(t): t\geq 0\}$  be defined by

$$N_{2V}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{2V} J_j(t).$$
 (2.1)

Then  $\{N_{2V}(t): t \geq 0\}$  is a birth-death process on  $\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, ..., 2V\}$  governed by the upward transition rates  $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} (2V - n)$  and the downward transition rates  $\mu_n = \frac{n}{2}, n \in \mathcal{N}$ . We note that  $\nu_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_n + \mu_n = V$ ,  $n \in \mathcal{N}$ , which is independent of state n. This birth-death

process is called the Ehrenfest process.

Let  $\{X_V(t): t \geq 0\}$  be a stochastic process defined by

$$X_V(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\frac{2}{V}} N_{2V}(t) - \sqrt{2V}.$$
 (2.2)

We note that  $\{X_V(t): t \geq 0\}$  has a discrete support on  $\{r(0), ..., r(2V)\}$  where

$$r(n) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{V}} n - \sqrt{2V}, \quad n = 0, 1, ...$$
 (2.3)

Clearly  $r(n+1)-r(n)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{V}}\to 0$  as  $V\to\infty$ . When  $N_{2V}(0)$  is chosen appropriately,  $\{X_V(t):t\geq 0\}$  converges in law to  $\{X_{\mathrm{OU}}(t):t\geq 0\}$  as  $V\to\infty$ . It can be shown that the first passage time and the historical maximum of  $\{X_V(t):t\geq 0\}$  also converges in law to those of  $\{X_{\mathrm{OU}}(t):t\geq 0\}$  as  $V\to\infty$ . Hence the dynamic behavior of  $\{X_{\mathrm{OU}}(t):t\geq 0\}$  can be approximated by that of  $\{X_V(t):t\geq 0\}$ .

# 3 First Passage Time Structure of the Ehrenfest Process

Let  $T_{mn}$  (m < n) be the first passage time of a general birth-death process with probability density function  $s_{mn}(\tau)$  and its Laplace transform  $\sigma_{mn}(s)$ . For notational convenience, we denote  $T_{m,m+1}$  by  $T_m^+$ , and  $s_m^+(\tau)$  and  $\sigma_m^+(s)$  are defined similarly. From the consistency relations, one has

$$\sigma_n^+(s) = \frac{\nu_n}{s + \nu_n} \left[ \frac{\lambda_n}{\nu_n} + \frac{\mu_n}{\nu_n} \, \sigma_{n-1}^+(s) \, \sigma_n^+(s) \right], \quad n \ge 1,$$
(3.1)

where  $\nu_n = \lambda_n + \mu_n$ .

Let  $g_n(s)$  be a polynomial of order n defined by  $\sigma_{0n}(s)=\frac{1}{g_n(s)}, n\geq 1, g_0(s)=1$ . From  $\sigma_{0n}(s)=\sigma_{0n-1}(s)\,\sigma_{n-1}^+(s), n\geq 0$ , one then sees

$$g_{n+1}(s) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left[ (s + \nu_n) g_n(s) - \mu_n g_{n-1}(s) \right], \ n \ge 0,$$
(3.2)

where  $g_{-1}(s) = 0$  and  $g_0(s) = 1$ . It should be noted for  $m \ge 0$ , that

$$\sigma_n^+(s) = \frac{g_n(s)}{g_{n+1}(s)}, \quad n \ge 0. \tag{3.3}$$

$$\sigma_n^+(s) = \sum_{j=0}^n r_{n+1,j} \frac{\alpha_{n+1,j}}{s + \alpha_{n+1,j}},$$
 (3.4)

where  $-\alpha_{n+1,j}$  are the zeros of  $g_{n+1}(s)$ ,  $r_{n+1,j} = \lim_{s \to -\alpha_{n+1,j}} \frac{s + \alpha_{n+1,j}}{\alpha_{nj}} \frac{g_n(s)}{g_{n+1}(s)} \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^n r_{n+1,j} = \sigma^+(0,1) = 1$  $\sigma_n^+(0+)=1.$ 

In case of the Ehrenfest process, this becomes

$$g_{n+1}(s) = \frac{2}{2V - n} \left[ (s + V) g_n(s) - \frac{n}{2} g_{n-1}(s) \right],$$
(3.5)

with  $g_{-1}(s) = 0$  and  $g_0(s) = 1$ .

#### Dynamic Behavior and its Nu-4 merical Algorithm

In order to evaluate the first passage times  $s_{mn}(\tau)$  (m < n) with corresponding Laplace transforms  $\sigma_{mn}(s) = \sigma_m^+(s) \cdots \sigma_{n-1}^+(s) = g_m(s)/g_n(s)$ , the zeros of  $q_n(s)$  are needed. These zeros in turn enables one to quantify the historical maximum. In principle, the zero search of  $g_n(s)$  can be accomplished via a straightforward bisection approach since the zeros of  $g_n(s)$  and  $g_{n+1}(s)$  interleave because of the underlying orthogonality. Let  $h_n(s) = g_n(s-V), n \ge 0$ , then the recursive formula can be rewritten as

$$h_{n+1}(s) = \frac{2}{2V - n} \left[ s h_n(s) - \frac{n}{2} h_{n-1}(s) \right], \quad n \ge 0,$$
(4.1)

Clearly the zeros of  $h_n(s)$  are symmetric about 0 while the zeros of  $g_n(x)$  are symmetric about -V. Consequently the computational time of the zero search can be reduced approximately by a factor of 4. More specifically, one can write

$$\begin{cases} h_{2m}(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} w_{2m,2j} \, s^{2j}, & m \ge 0, \\ h_{2m+1}(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} w_{2m+1,2j+1} \, s^{2j+1}, & m \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $h_{2m}(s)$  is an even function and  $h_{2m+1}(s)$  is an odd function, it then follows from the recursive formula

that 
$$\sigma_n^+(s) = \frac{g_n(s)}{g_{n+1}(s)}, \quad n \ge 0. \tag{3.3}$$
 As shown in Keilson [1],  $\{g_n(s)\}$  are orthogonal polynomials. Consequently, from (3.3),  $\sigma_n^+(s)$  can be written as 
$$\begin{cases} w_{2m,0} = -\frac{2}{2(V-m)+1} \left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) w_{2m-2,0}, \\ w_{2m,2j} = \frac{2}{2(V-m)+1} \left\{w_{2m-1,2j-1} - \left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) w_{2m-2,2j}\right\}, \quad j=1,...,m-1, \\ w_{2m,2m} = \frac{2}{2(V-m)+1} w_{2m-1,2m-1}, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} w_{2m+1,2j+1} = \frac{w_{2m,2j} - m \, w_{2m-1,2j+1}}{V - m}, \\ j = 0, ..., m - 1, \\ w_{2m+1,2m+1} = \frac{w_{2m,2m}}{V - m}, \end{cases}$$

where  $h_0(s) = w_{0,0} = 1$ .

For both  $h_{2m}(s)$  and  $h_{2m+1}(s)$ , it suffices to search m zeros in  $(0, \infty)$ . For  $h_n(s)$  with  $1 \le n \le 4$ , the zeros can be obtained explicitly by solving the underlying equations. For higher values of n, a straightforward bisection method can be employed by exploiting the fact that zeros of  $h_{n+1}(s)$  interleave those of  $h_n(s)$ . Let  $\xi_{nj}$   $(0 \le j \le n-1)$  be zeros of  $h_n(s)$  and  $-\alpha_{nj}$   $(0 \le j \le n-1)$  be zeros of  $g_n(s)$ , one then

has  $\alpha_{nj} = V - \xi_{nj}$ ,  $0 \le j \le n - 1$ . From  $\sigma_{mn}(s) = \sigma_m^+(s) \cdots \sigma_{n-1}^+(s)$ , one has that

$$\sigma_{mn}(s) = \frac{g_m(s)}{g_n(s)} = c_{mn} \frac{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{m-1} (s + \alpha_{mj})}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{n-1} (s + \alpha_{n,j})}; \quad c_{mn} = \frac{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{nj}}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{mj}}.$$

$$(4.2)$$

Since  $\sigma_{mn}(s)$  is regular apart from singular points  $-\alpha_{n,j}$ ,  $0 \le j \le n-1$ , this can be rewritten as

$$\sigma_{mn}(s) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{mn:j} \frac{\alpha_{nj}}{s + \alpha_{nj}}; \quad A_{mn:k} = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1 - \frac{\alpha_{nk}}{\alpha_{mj}})}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq k} (1 - \frac{\alpha_{nk}}{\alpha_{nj}})}.$$
(4.3)

In real domain, this leads to the probability function  $s_{mn}(\tau)$  and its corresponding survival function  $\overline{S}_{mn}(\tau) = \int_{\tau}^{\infty} s_{mn}(y) dy$  given as

$$s_{mn}(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{mn:j} \cdot \alpha_{nj} e^{-\alpha_{nj}\tau};$$
 (4.4)

$$\overline{S}_{mn}(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A_{mn:j} e^{-\alpha_{nj}\tau}.$$
 (4.5)

### References

[1] Keilson, J. (1979), Markov chain models: rarity and exponentiality, (Applied Mathematical Science Series, 28), Springer, New York.