Mean Waiting Times in Markovian Polling Systems

01505150 筑波大学 *平山哲治 HIRAYAMA Tetsuji

1. Introduction.

Polling systems with various polling orders have been studied extensively. Deterministic polling orders are considered in [1]. Random polling systems are the systems with the random polling orders [3]. Markovian polling systems with the Markovian polling orders are investigated in [2, 4]. We make another approach to the analysis of the Markovian polling systems with infinite buffer capacities and obtain the mean waiting times.

2. Model description.

A single server serves J classes of customers at J stations. Customers arrive at station i from outside the system (called i-customers) according to a Poisson process with rate λ_i ($i=1,\ldots,J;\ \lambda\equiv\sum_{i=1}^J\lambda_i$). Service times S_i of i-customers are independently, identically and arbitrarily distributed with mean $\overline{s_i}$ and second moment $\overline{s_i^2}$. The server serves customers according to a predetermined scheduling algorithm where i-customers are admitted into the service facility in either a gated fashion ($i\in\Pi_G$) or an exhaustive fashion ($i\in\Pi_E$). The other customers should wait for service in the waiting rooms. The server utilizations are defined by $\rho_i \equiv \lambda_i \overline{s_i}$ and $\rho \equiv \sum_{i=1}^J \rho_i$. After completing services of all customers in the service facility at station i, the server selects station j with probability p_{ij} ($i,j=1,\ldots,J$). Let $P\equiv (p_{ij}:i,j=1,\ldots,J)$. An arbitrarily distributed switchover time S_{ij}^o with mean $\overline{s_{ij}^o}$ and second moment $\overline{s_{ij}^{o2}}$ is incurred at every time when the server switches from station i to station j.

Let Π and Π^s be the sets of service periods and of switchover periods, respectively. For any time t, let $\kappa(t) \in \Pi \cup \Pi^s$ denote the period, and let r(t) denote a remaining service time of a customer being served if $\kappa(t) \in \Pi$, or a remaining length of a switchover period if $\kappa(t) \in \Pi^s$. The number of *i*-customers in the service facility (who are not being served) is denoted by $g_i(t)$, and the number of *i*-customers in the waiting room is denoted by $n_i(t)$ ($i=1,\ldots,J$). Let $g(t) \equiv (g_1(t),\ldots,g_J(t))$ and $n(t) \equiv (n_1(t),\ldots,n_J(t))$. The other informations at time t are accumulated in L(t). Then we define the stochastic process $Q = \{Y(t) = (\kappa(t), r(t), g(t), n(t), L(t)) : t \geq 0\}$ with state space \mathcal{E} . The e^{th} customer (e^e) arrives from outside the system at epoch r_0^e ($e = 1, 2, \ldots$). Then let r_k^e be the time epoch just when the server visits a station for the k^{th} time counting from e^{th} arrival epoch ($k = 1, 2, \ldots$). Let e^{th} denote his station staying at time t.

The performance measures.

For $t \geq 0$ and i = 1, ..., J, let $C^e_{Wi}(t) \equiv 1$ if c^e stays in the waiting room at station i at time t, or $\equiv 0$ otherwise; and let $C^e_{Fi}(t) \equiv 1$ if c^e waits for service in the service facility as an i-customer at time t, or $\equiv 0$ otherwise. Let

$$H_{i}(Y,j,e,l,\kappa_{0}) \equiv E\left[\int_{\tau_{l}^{e}}^{\infty} C_{Wi}^{e}(t)\mathbb{1}\{\kappa(t)=\kappa_{0}\}dt|A_{l}^{e}(Y,j)\right], \qquad H_{i}^{e}(\kappa_{0}) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} C_{Wi}^{e}(t)\mathbb{1}\{\kappa(t)=\kappa_{0}\}dt,$$

$$H_{i}^{0}(Y,j,e,l,\kappa_{0}) \equiv E\left[\int_{\tau_{l}^{e}}^{\tau_{l+1}^{e}} C_{Wi}^{e}(t)\mathbb{1}\{\kappa(t)=\kappa_{0}\}dt|A_{l}^{e}(Y,j)\right], \qquad (1)$$

$$F_i(Y,j,e) \equiv E \left[\int_{\tau_e^e}^{\infty} C_{Fi}^e(t) dt | A_0^e(Y,j) \right], \qquad F_i^e \equiv \int_0^{\infty} C_{Fi}^e(t) dt, \qquad (2)$$

for $Y \in \mathcal{E}; i, j = 1, ..., J; \kappa_0 \in \Pi \cup \Pi^s$ and l = 0, 1, 2, ... where $A_l^e(Y, j) \equiv \{Y(\tau_l^e) = Y, Z^e(\tau_l^e) = j\}$. The performance measure $H_i(\cdot)$ denotes the conditional expected waiting time of cutomers in the waiting room of station i, and $F_i(\cdot)$ denotes the conditional expected waiting time of cutomers in the service facility of station i. Then it can be shown that

$$H_{i}(Y, j, e, l, \kappa_{0}) = \begin{cases} H_{i}^{0}(Y, j, e, l, \kappa_{0}) + E[H_{i}(Y(\tau_{l+1}^{e}), j, e, l+1, \kappa_{0})|Y(\tau_{l}^{e}) = Y, Z^{e}(\tau_{l}^{e}) = j], \\ \text{if } (\kappa \neq j) \text{ or } (\kappa = j, l = 0, j \in \Pi_{G}), \\ 0, \text{if } (\kappa = j, l = 0, j \in \Pi_{E}) \text{ or } (\kappa = j, l > 0, j \in \Pi_{E} \cup \Pi_{G}). \end{cases}$$
(3)

3. Expressions of the performance measures.

The expressions of the above two performance measures are given by

$$H_{j}^{0}(Y, j, e, l, \kappa_{0}) = \begin{cases} r\varphi^{0}(\kappa, j, \kappa_{0}) + (g, n)h_{00}^{0}(\kappa, j, \kappa_{0}) + p_{\kappa_{0}}h_{01}^{0}(\kappa, j, \kappa_{0}), & l = 0, \kappa \in \Pi, \\ r\varphi^{0}(\kappa, j, \kappa_{0}), & l = 0, \kappa \in \Pi^{s}, \\ (g, n)h_{10}^{0}(\kappa, j, \kappa_{0}) + p_{\kappa_{0}}h_{11}^{0}(\kappa, j, \kappa_{0}), & l > 0, \kappa \in \Pi, \\ 0, & l > 0, \kappa \in \Pi^{s}, \end{cases}$$

$$(4)$$

$$F_{i}(Y, j, e) = r\psi(\kappa, j) + (q, n)f(\kappa, j), \tag{5}$$

for $Y = (\kappa, r, g, n, L) \in \mathcal{E}; j = 1, ..., J; l = 0, 1, 2, ...$ and $\kappa_0 \in \Pi \cup \Pi^s$. Further the expected numbers of customers in the system at a beginning epoch of a service period conditioned on the system state at its previous epoch are given by

$$E[(g(\tau_{l+1}^{e}), n(\tau_{l+1}^{e})) | \kappa(\tau_{l+1}^{e}) = k, A_{l}^{e}(Y, j)] = \begin{cases} rv(\kappa) + (g, n)U_{0}(\kappa) + u_{0}(j, \kappa, k), & l = 0, \kappa \in \Pi, \\ rv + (g, n)U_{0} + e_{j}, & l = 0, \kappa \in \Pi^{s}, \\ (g, n)U_{1}(\kappa) + u_{1}(\kappa, k), & l > 0, \kappa \in \Pi, \\ 0, & l > 0, \kappa \in \Pi^{s}, \end{cases}$$
(6)

for $Y=(\kappa,r,g,n,L)\in\mathcal{E}$ and $j,k\in\Pi$ where $A_l^e(Y,j)\equiv\{Y(\tau_l^e)=Y,Z^e(\tau_l^e)=j\}$. The coefficients in the above expressions (4), (5) and (6) can be calculated from the known quantities given in the last section.

Then for any $Y = (\kappa, r, g, n, L) \in \mathcal{E}; j = 1, \dots, J; l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\kappa_0 \in \Pi \cup \Pi^s$, we define

$$\hat{H}_{j}(Y,j,e,l,\kappa_{0}) \equiv \begin{cases} r\varphi(\kappa,j,\kappa_{0}) + (g,n)h_{00}(\kappa,j,\kappa_{0}) + h_{01}(\kappa,j,\kappa_{0}), & l = 0, \\ (g,n)h_{10}(\kappa,j,\kappa_{0}) + h_{11}(\kappa,j,\kappa_{0}), & l > 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(7)$$

The constants $h_{00}(\cdot), h_{10}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{2J \times 1}$ and $\varphi(\cdot), h_{01}(\cdot), h_{11}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}$ are obtained by solving J sets of linear equations with $O(J^2)$ unknowns whose coefficients consist of the constants given in (4) and (6).

Proposition 1. \hat{H}_j $(j=1,\ldots,J)$ defined in (7) satisfy the equation (3) for i=j.

Since uniqueness of the solution can be shown under some assumptions, the functions \hat{H}_j defined in (7) become the performance measures H_j in (1) (j = 1, ..., J). We further note that these performance measures are linear functions of components r and (g, n) of the system state $Y = (\kappa, r, g, n, L) \in \mathcal{E}$.

4. Steady state values.

In this section, we obtain the mean waiting times \bar{D}_j for all classes of customers $(j=1,\ldots,J)$. Now let

$$\bar{H}_j(\kappa, \kappa_0) \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} (1/N) \sum_{e=1}^N E[H_j^e(\kappa_0) \mathbf{1} \{ \kappa(\tau_0^e) = \kappa \} | Z^e(\tau_0^e) = j \}, \tag{8}$$

$$\bar{F}_j(\kappa) \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} (1/N) \sum_{e=1}^N E[F_j^e \mathbf{1}\{\kappa(\tau_0^e) = \kappa\} | Z^e(\tau_0^e) = j], \qquad (j \in \Pi; \ \kappa, \kappa_0 \in \Pi \cup \Pi^s),$$
 (9)

be the average values of the performance measures. Further we define the average values of the system state:

$$\tilde{Y}^{\kappa} = (\kappa \tilde{q}^{\kappa}, \tilde{r}^{\kappa}, \tilde{g}^{\kappa}, \tilde{n}^{\kappa}, \tilde{L}^{\kappa}) \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} (1/t) \int_{0}^{t} E[Y(s) \mathbf{1}\{\kappa(s) = \kappa\}] ds, \qquad (\kappa \in \Pi \cup \Pi^{s}). \tag{10}$$

Let $\pi=(\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_J)$ be the steady state probability vector of a Markov chain generated by the transition probability matrix P. Then we have $\tilde{q}^{\kappa}=\lambda_{\kappa}\overline{s_{\kappa}}$ and $\tilde{r}^{\kappa}=\lambda_{\kappa}\overline{s_{\kappa}^{2}}/2$ for $\kappa\in\Pi$, and $\tilde{q}^{(i,j)}=(1-\rho)\pi_{i}p_{ij}\overline{s_{ij}^{o}}/\overline{S^{o}}$ and $\tilde{\tau}^{(i,j)}=(1-\rho)\pi_{i}p_{ij}\overline{s_{ij}^{o}}/\overline{S^{o}}$ for $(i,j)\in\Pi^{s}$, where $\overline{S^{o}}=\sum_{i=1}^{J}\sum_{j=1}^{J}\pi_{i}p_{ij}\overline{s_{ij}^{o}}$. From the generalized version of the Little's formula, the PASTA property, and the expressions (5) and (7), we have a set of equations:

$$\tilde{n}_{j}^{\kappa_{0}} = \lambda_{j} \sum_{\kappa \in \Pi \cup \Pi^{s}} \bar{H}_{j}(\kappa, \kappa_{0}) = \lambda_{j} \sum_{\kappa \in \Pi \cup \Pi^{s}} \left\{ \tilde{r}^{\kappa} \varphi(\kappa, j, \kappa_{0}) + (\tilde{g}^{\kappa}, \tilde{n}^{\kappa}) h_{00}(\kappa, j, \kappa_{0}) + \tilde{q}^{\kappa} h_{01}(\kappa, j, \kappa_{0}) \right\}, \tag{11}$$

$$\tilde{g}_{j} = \lambda_{j} \sum_{\kappa \in \Pi \cup \Pi \delta} \bar{F}_{j}(\kappa) = \lambda_{j} \sum_{\kappa \in \Pi \cup \Pi \delta} \left\{ \tilde{r}^{\kappa} \psi(\kappa, j) + (\tilde{g}^{\kappa}, \tilde{n}^{\kappa}) f(\kappa, j) \right\}, \tag{12}$$

for $j \in \Pi$ and $\kappa_0 \in \Pi \cup \Pi^s$. $(\tilde{g}_j^{\kappa} = \tilde{g}_j \text{ if } \kappa = j \in \Pi, \text{ or } \tilde{g}_j^{\kappa} = 0 \text{ otherwise.})$

Proposition 2. The mean waiting times are given by

$$\bar{D}_{j} \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{e=1}^{N} E \left[F_{j}^{e} + \sum_{\kappa_{0} \in \Pi \cup \Pi^{s}} H_{j}^{e}(\kappa_{0}) | Z^{e}(\tau_{0}^{e}) = j \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}} \left(\tilde{g}_{j} + \sum_{\kappa_{0} \in \Pi \cup \Pi^{s}} \tilde{n}_{j}^{\kappa_{0}} \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, J. \quad \Box \quad (13)$$

Note: Although the above equations (11) and (12) have $O(J^3)$ unknowns, we can easily reduce them $O(J^2)$ unknowns by defining $\tilde{n}^{\cdot k_1} \equiv \sum_{k_0 \in \Pi} \tilde{n}^{(k_0, k_1)}$ and by arranging these equations.

References

- [1] O.J. Boxma, H. Levy and J.A. Weststrate, Efficient Visit Frequencies for Polling Tables: Minimization of Waiting Cost, Queueing Systems 9 (1991) 133-162.
- [2] H. Chung, C.K. Un and W.Y. Jung, Performance Analysis of Markovian Polling Systems with Single Buffers, Performance Evaluation 19 (1994) 303-315.
- [3] L. Kleinrock and H. Levy, The Analysis of Random Polling Systems, Operations Research 36 (1988) 716-732.
- [4] M.M. Srinivasan, Nondeterministic Polling Systems, Management Science 37 (1991) 667-681.